

From: [Hagerman, Paul](#)
To: [Mitchell, Tanya](#); [Amy Darpinian](#)
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2015 4:22:00 PM

Thanks – generally, the spreadsheet tables have this information, except for references to the maps. I'm pretty certain the hydraulic gauging (synoptic levels I assume she means) will be on a different table....and it is something I don't think we have in Excel from the HHRA tables as that is really something from the remedial investigation....so it would be found in the SCR report. We'll take an overall look at this and determine what we can provide. I will get back to you tomorrow.

Paul Hagerman, P.E.

CDM Smith

110 Fieldcrest Avenue, #8

6th Floor

Edison, NJ 08837

phone: (732) 225 7000 (operator)

phone: (732) 590 4663 (direct dial)

cell phone: (917) 941 4010

fax: (732) 590 4663

From: Mitchell, Tanya [mailto:Mitchell.Tanya@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 4:09 PM

To: Hagerman, Paul; Amy Darpinian

Subject: FW: Rolling Knolls

Hi all,

I received the following information request from NJDEP regarding the data tables. Where it is feasible and given the timeframe, I would like to accommodate the request as much as possible. Please complete as much as possible by the Monday timeline you provided.

Thanks,

Tanya

NJDEP Request:

I just heard from Steve (my TC). He has requested that the Eco Screening Levels (in addition to the Residential, Non-Residential and IGW standards) also be included on the comprehensive soil data table.

As requested, here is my specific request for the tabulated soil data associated with the Rolling Knolls site.

1. In order to properly comment on the proposed final phase of the RI work, it is requested that Arcadis provide the following information in the requested format: A comprehensive data results table which lists all soil results collected to date (including the most recent data gap sampling results) compared to all relevant SRS. At a minimum, the table should include the sample designations, sampled intervals, sample elevations, date of sampling, sampling results; the NJ-SRS against which the data is being compared, etc. This table should be cross-referenced to maps which illustrate the locations of all samples collected, to date, in regard to the site.

***As discussed during our meeting, we are looking to compare the data to the NJ SRS, which would include the NJ – IGW SRS.*

2. Ground Water Summary Data Tables for each monitoring well and temporary well point associated with the site. These tables should include all historic ground water sampling detects up to the most recent sampling event. Ideally this table will also include the hydraulic gauging data associated with each sampling event conducted at the site. If this is not possible, the hydraulic gauging data may be included on a separate table.

*** Although you had mentioned that we wouldn't be getting this particular table prior to our meeting next week, I'll include it here as part of my wish list. These kinds of tables really do make the reviews more efficient.*